

healthy all life long

Development, validation and application of multi-class methods for the analysis of food additives by LC-MS/MS

Séverine Goscinny, Pauline Detry, Joris Van Loco, and Els Van Hoeck

Based on a project funded by



Health Food Chain Safety Environment

Spotlight: Food additives – status quo on chemical analysis and European regulations Berlin, 27th of November 2024



Ultimate test

Tools used for the validation process

The WHY and the HOW?

The strategy

No pain no gain



Implementation examples Wrapping-up

This is real

nutes • **20 B**



THE TARGET

WHAT IS ON THE MARKET?

Label study in 2020 of food products in the Belgian market from the 6 major supermarkets

Market coverage of around 80%

36 601 unique food products (not taking into account fresh produce)

240 FAs were IDENTIFIED

44% Contained at least 1 FA



THE IDEA

1 food productVarious FAs1 analytical method



THE IDEA

1 food productVarious FAs1 analytical method

Where to start????



START AT THE END

Detection then separation

Mass spectrometry: as an universal detector

Liquid chromatography: UHPLC for fast separation







The WHY and the HOW?

The strategy

Our next 20 minutes

methods inel effect

No pain no gain

Start from the end of the analytical process



FROM STOCK SOLUTIONS to WORKING MIXES

How to mix substances with various stability properties, different instrumental response, environmental requirements?

Solubilisation tests





Daily laboratory work

MS response

The "MS-sensitive" Mix (e.g. hydroxybenzoates)

The "non-sensitive" Mix (e.g. Tartazine)

Stability

Indigotine has to be handled separately

Sweeteners solutions are more stable at $4^{\circ}C$

THE DETECTION

MASS SPECTROMETRY

Easy like Sweeteners



Terrible like Colours



M/Z in some cases corresponds to a loss of 1 Na+, Or 2 Na+ or no losses!

Published in 2020!

Terrible like Colours





3.6 min charge = 2 MS/MS transition 215 > 80

Quinoline Yellow

4.3 min single charge MS/MS transition 432 > 352

Related issues...

1 MS/MS transition

2 compounds

- Quinoline Yellow E 104 •
- Mogroside III-E ٠

Low ES ionisation efficiency

4 compounds:

- **TBHQ E 319** BHA E 320
 - synthetic phenolic antioxidants
- **BHT E 321**

Brilliant Black BN E 151

Background noise - Low MW (< 192 Da)

7 compounds: *small organic acids*

- Sorbic acid E 200 Fumaric acid E 297
- Benzoic acid E 210 •
- Propionic acid E 280 Citric acid E 300 •
- Lactic acid E 270
 - Malic acid E 296

Low stock solution stability

- 1 compound:
- Erythorbic acid E 315

THE SEPARATION STEP

Not any kind of column or mobile phases!

BUL BUL

TELE

Not all C18 columns are created equal!

Specifications: endcap, carbon load, polar interactions

more carbon load usually

means more retention for nonpolar compounds



in some circumstances,

endcapping may be GOOD in others endcapping may not make a difference



Column choice



Column choice



Mobile phases: to be or not to be eccentric?



Favour the ionisation process: Neg and Pos Keep the pressure under control

Compatibility with the injection solvents

Balance good distribution, leave some space for new compounds:

- 12 minutes in positive mode
- 10 minutes in negative mode

Mobile phases: To be or not to be eccentric?

Organic phase

Acetonitrile	+	Formic acid					
Acetonitrile	+	Formic acid	+	Α	mmonium ace	etate	
Methanol	+	Formic acid	+	A	mmonium ace	etate	
Acetonitrile	+	Methanol		+	Formic acid	+	Ammonium acetate
Acetonitrile	+	Methanol		+	Formic acid	+	Formate acetate

Mobile phases: To be or not to be eccentric?

Organic phase

	+	Formic acid					
	+	Formic acid	+				
Methanol	+	Formic acid	+				
Acetonitrile	+	Methanol		+	Formic acid	+	Ammonium acetate
	+			+	Formic acid	+	

THE EXTRACTION STEP

Selective (trap the targeted fish), Robust (food matrices), and if possible fast!



The Art of QuEChERS Extraction

The Art of QuEChERS Extraction: 2 STEPS

Generic as QuEChERS: STEP 1



Generic as QuEChERS: STEP 2



QuEChERS extraction



QuEChERS extraction







QuEChERS extraction



Development and adjustments



Sample take

Water added to sample take



dSPE quantities for clean-up



QuEChERS extraction adjustements



Something is still off!

Recoveries << 50%

Colours

Sunset Yellow FCF (E 110) Carminic acid (E 120) Carmoisine (E 122) Amaranth (E 123) Ponceau 4R (E 124) Erythrosine (E 217) Allura Red AC (E 129) Brilliant Blue FCF (E 133)

Fast Yellow AB Yellow 2G Acid Red 2G Ponceau SX Ponceau 6R Fast Green FCF Aspartame (E 951) Cyclamates (E 952) Saccharin (E 954) Alitame Glycyrrhizin Mogroside III-E Mogroside IV Mogroside V

Sweeteners

Preserv. & antiox.

Natamycin (E 235) Rosmarinic acid Dehydroacetic acid
Something is still off!

Recoveries << 50%

Colours

Sunset Yellow FCF (E 110) Carminic acid (E 120) Carmoisine (E 122) Amaranth (E 123) Ponceau 4R (E 124) Erythrosine (E 217) Allura Red AC (E 129) Brilliant Blue FCF (E 133)

Fast Yellow AB Yellow 2G Acid Red 2G Ponceau SX Ponceau 6R Fast Green FCF

Sweeteners

Aspartame (E 951) Cyclamates (E 952) Saccharin (E 954) Alitame Glycyrrhizin Mogroside III-E Mogroside IV Mogroside V

Preserv. & antiox.

Natamycin (E 235) Rosmarinic acid Dehydroacetic acid

Polar compounds !

Something is still off!

Also problems for polar pesticides extraction...

Quick Polar Pesticides Method (QuPPe) Extraction solvent: methanol 1 % formic acid



Different « basified methanol extraction » methods published Extraction solvent ethanol-ammonia-water (80:1:19, V/V/V) (Zou et al., 2013) methanol-ammonia-water (80:2:18, v/v/v) (Qi et al., 2015)

Recoveries of polar compounds for different sample treatment



Basified methanol extraction: ajustements

2 g



Basified methanol extraction: ajustements







depending on matrix fat content

Basified methanol extraction: ajustements



depending on matrix fat content

For cereal based products

Very low recoveries (H_2O in centrifuged pellet) \rightarrow Hydratation before extraction with solvent mix



Not reproducible results \rightarrow Data treatment without IS

DATA PROCESSING

Quantification and screening for 2 extraction methods



QuEChERS

E 100 Curcumin

E 104 Quinoline Yellow

E 155 Brown HT

E 160b Bixin

E 955 Sucralose

E 959 Neohesperidin DC

E 961 Neotame

E 969 Advantame

E 214 Ethyl p-hydroxybenzoate

E 218 Methyl p-hydroxybenzoate

E 310 Propyl gallate

E 392 Carnosol

E 392 Carnosic acid

Caffeine

Basified methanol

E 100 Riboflavin
E 102 Tartrazine
E 110 Sunset Yellow FCF
E 120 Carminic acid
E 122 Carmoisine
E 123 Amaranth
E 124 Ponceau 4R
E 127 Erythrosine
E 129 Allura Red AC
E 131 Patent Blue V
E 132 Indigotine
E 133 Brilliant Blue FCF
E 142 Green S
E 950 Acesulfame K
E 951 Aspartame
E 952 Cyclamic acid & salts
E 954 Saccharin & salts
E 959 Neohesperidin DC
E 235 Natamycin

The screening substances

Lowest screening detection determined during 1st validation

- ≠ for each compound
- Matrix dependent

Included in the QC/QA checks

1 MS/MS transition monitored



QuEC	hE	ERS		[Basic methanol
Auramine O		Basic Red 9] [Fast Yellow AB
Sudan Yellow (Butter Yellow)		Citrus Red 2			Acid Yellow 36 (Metanil Yellow)
Fast Garnet GBC		Disperse Red 1			Orange 2
Disperse Yellow 3		Rhodamine B			Ponceau 6R (Acid Red 44)
Sudan Orange G		Malachite Green			Ponceau SX
Basic Orange 2 (Chrysoidine)		Leucomalachite Green			Acid Red 2G
Disperse Orange 3		Sudan Blue 2			Acid Red 52
Disperse Orange 11		Methylene Blue			Fast Green FCF
Disperse Orange 37		Victoria Pure Blue			4-amino carminic acid
Sudan 1		Crystal Violet			Alitame
Sudan 2		Dulcin			Glycyrrhizin
Sudan 3		Heptyl paraben			Mogroside V
Sudan 4 + Sudan Red B		Propyl paraben			Mogroside III-E
Sudan Red 7B		Ethyl gallate			Mogroside IV
Sudan Red G		Octyl gallate			Rosmarinic acid
Para Red		Lauryl gallate			
Toluidine Red		Ethoxyquin			



Ultimate test

Tools used for the validation process

The WHY and the HOW?

The strategy



No pain no gain



METHOD PERFORMANCE

Single laboratory validation protocol

The detailed design and the correct execution of method validation studies should, as far as possible, provide a realistic assessment

of the number and range of effects operating **during normal use** of the method, as well as covering the working concentration range(s) and **sample types** that fall within the scope of the method.



Food Categories 1333/2008

1	Dairy products and analogues
2	Fats and oils and fat and oil emulsions
3	Edible ices
4	Fruit and vegetables
5	Confectionery
6	Cereals and cereal products
7	Bakery wares
8	Meat
9	Fish and fisheries products
10	Eggs and egg products
11	Sugars, syrups, honey and table-top sweeten
12	Salts, spices, soups, sauces, salads and pr products
13	Foods intended for particular nutritional uses
14	Beverages
15	Ready-to-eat savouries and snacks
16	Desserts
17	Food supplements
18	Processed foods



	Food Categories 1333/2008	Matrices selected for the validation of the method	
1	Dairy products and analogues	merged validation with edible ices	
2	Fats and oils and fat and oil emulsions	spreads	
3	Edible ices	full fat ice cream	
4	Fruit and vegetables	canned vegetables and fruit mix	
5	Confectionery	Sweets	A CONTRACTOR
6	Cereals and cereal products	breakfast cereals	
7	Bakery wares	Waffles with chocolate	
8	Meat	canned sausages	
9	Fish and fisheries products		12 full validations
10	Eggs and egg products		12 Iun vandacions
11	Sugars, syrups, honey and table-top sweeteners		A MARTINE
12	Salts, spices, soups, sauces, salads and protein products	mayonnaise	Contraction 6
13	Foods intended for particular nutritional uses		
14	Beverages	Flavoured drinks	
15	Ready-to-eat savouries and snacks	potato chips	
16	Desserts	Flan	
17	Food supplements		
18	Processed foods	lasagne	

Validation – Protocol



Full validation work load

Spikes at $\mathbf{3}$ concentration levels

medium high very high (dilution required)

3 repetitions

3 days

1 day of		
validation	1 full va	alidation
12	36	samples to extract (blanks comprised)
30	90	extracts injections (calibration curve, BP, spikes
12	36	hours of injection (ES+ and ES-)
		+ data treatment!





Not all matrices are created equal!

AOAC matrix clusters



Not all matrices are created equal!



What about items with high water content?

Beverages Canned fruits + vegetables



Food Categories 1333/2008		Matrices selected for the validation of the method	AOAC triangle number	
1	Dairy products and analogues	merged validation with edible ices	4+6	
2	Fats and oils and fat and oil emulsions	spreads	1	
3	Edible ices	full fat ice cream	6	
4	Fruit and vegetables	canned vegetables and fruit mix	"Circle"	
5	Confectionery	Sweets	"Circle"	
6	Cereals and cereal products	breakfast cereals	5	
7	Bakery wares	Waffles with chocolate	5	
8	Meat	canned sausages	4	
9	Fish and fisheries products			
10	Eggs and egg products			
11	Sugars, syrups, honey and table-top sweeteners			
12	Salts, spices, soups, sauces, salads and protein products	mayonnaise	1	
13	Foods intended for particular nutritional uses			
14	Beverages	Flavoured drinks	"Circle"	
15	Ready-to-eat savouries and snacks	potato chips	2	
16	Desserts	Flan	6	
17	Food supplements			
18	Processed foods	lasagne	6	

Cutting in HALF!







his database is an online database, which observes product introductions in consumer packaged goods marketed worldwide.

MINTEL

The GNPD contains data of EU food markets since 1996 and currently 25 of its 28 member countries and Norway are represented in the GNPD.10

New foods are regularly added to the database.



Scope for the Methanol Basic Method All food categories







Validation – Results

NOK for	
Riboflavin E 100	Bad reproducibilty and repeatability Recoveries of 200 % Naturally present in milk, meat, etc
Brown HT E 155	Calibration curve very unstable!
Neohesperidin DC E 959	Low sensitivity
Carnosic acid (E 392)	Depending on the matrix Low stability of the compound (stability: degradation after 1 day in the autosampler)
Carminic acid E 120	
Green S E 142	Reproducibility above
Aspartame E 951	Horwitz criteria!!!
Natamycin E235	

E 100	Curcumin (Q)
E 102	Tartrazine (B)
E 104	QuinolineYellow(Q)
E 110	Sunset Yellow FCF (B)
E 120	Carminic acid (B) *
E 122	Carmoisine (B)
E 123	Amaranth (B)
E 124	Ponceau 4R (B)
E 127	Erythrosine (B)
E 129	Allura Red AC (B)
E 131	Patent Blue V (B)
E 132	Indigotine (B)
E 133	Brilliant Blue FCF (B)
E 142	Green S (B) *
E 160b	Bixin (Q)

950	Acesulfame K (B)
951	Aspartame (B) *
952	Cyclamic acid & salts (B)
954	Saccharin & salts (B)
955	Sucralose (Q)
961	Neotame (Q)
969	Advantame (Q)
214	Ethyl p-hydroxybenzoate (Q)
218	Methyl p-hydroxybenzoate $\left(\mathbb{Q} \right)$
235	Natamycin (B) *
310	Propyl gallate (Q)
392	Carnosol (Q)

27 additives
15 colours
7 sweeteners
5 antioxidants &
1 caffeine

+ 43 banned substances (screening)

Under within-laboratory reproducibility conditions

Horwitz paradox!

Medium level = 1.3 mg/kg

High level = 10 mg/kg

Very high level = 20 mg/kg

Mass fraction	CV (%)
$\geq 10 \ \mu g/kg$ to 100 $\ \mu g/kg$	20
> 100 µg/kg to 1 000 µg/kg	15
≥ 1 000 µg/kg	10



Ultimate test

Tools used for the validation process

The WHY and the HOW?

The strategy

No pain no gain



Implementation examples Wrapping-up

This is real

nutes • **20 B**

Sample analysis – Protocol









- Methyl p-hydroxybenzoate E 218 (1 ice cream)
- **Riboflavin E 101** in all samples, naturally in milk
- **Caffeine** in all samples containing chocolate, naturally present



Concentrations too high for calibration with dilutions 100x

• 4-amino carminic acid (1 yoghurt, containing carminic acid E 120)

Non-alcoholic beverages



Non-alcoholic beverages Analytical results





- Acesulfame K E 950 detected while not mentioned (1 lemonade, 1 energy drink)
- **Saccharin E 954** detected while not mentioned (1 lemonade)
- Carnosol and carnosic acid (E 392) detected while not mentioned (2 energy drinks)

• **Orange II** detected (3 non alcoholic panache - cocktails)
Processed foods





European, Asian dishes



n = 15

Processed foods (italian dishes)





No additives « spices », « herbs »



- Carnosic acid & carnosol (E 392) (naturally present in rosemary and other herbs) (7 samples)
- Ethyl p-hydroxybenzoate E 214 (1 frozen lasagna)

• Ethyl gallate (1 lasagna)

2 samples with no additive detected

Processed foods (european, asian dishes)





No additives

« spices », « herbs », curcuma

- Curcumin E 100 (naturally present in curcuma) (7 samples)
- Carnosic acid & carnosol (E 392) (naturally present in rosemary and other herbs) (5 samples)

6 samples with no additive detected

- Natamycin E 235 (1 Tikka Massala chicken)
- **Caffeine** (1 dehydrated noodles)
- Acesulfame K E 950 (1 curry chicken salad)
- Ethyl E 214 & Methyl p-hydroxybenzoate E 218 (1 Nasi Goreng)
- Propyl paraben (1 quiche)
- Dulcin (1 beef carbonnade)
- Glycyrrhizin (naturally present in liquorice, mentioned on the label) (1 Tikka Massala chicken)

Lets' wrap up with numbers...

(10%)

123 food products from different food categories were analysed

16 products contained additives not mentioned on label (13%)

processed foods samples not included, ingredients potentially naturally present not included (ex: ribloflavin, caffeine).

7 different substances not authorised as food additives were detected in 12 products

ingredients potentially naturally present not included (ex: rosmarinic acid), degradation product of carminic acid not included.







Take-home message



Every step of the analytical process requires some level of compromise



Expertise is a key factor, and a learning curve!



Versatile methods -Scope & matrix -Accreditation



Validation and Data processes Is time-consuming!



Next steps: -Scope extension -Validation criteria -Banned substances



Questions?

© Thank you to the artists for the beautiful pictures