







	Analyte level	RSD _r (%)	RSD _R (%)	HorRat R
Glycidol ^a	$0.11 \leftrightarrow 28.4 \text{ mg/kg}$	3.3 ↔ 17.1	$9.0 \leftrightarrow 29.2$	0.6 ↔ 1.6
3-MCPD ^b	$0.07 \leftrightarrow 2.93 \text{ mg/kg}$	2.0 ↔ 16.6	6.7 ↔ 20.7	0.4 ↔ 1.1
2-MCPD ^b	$0.02 \leftrightarrow 0.72 \text{ mg/kg}$	1.9 ↔ 24.0	10.1 ↔ 28.6	0.5 ↔ 1.0



Solutions to overcome issues in the analysis of 3-MCPD(E) & GE in mono- and diglycerides of fatty acids (E 471)

J. Kuhlmann SGS Germany GmbH jan.kuhlmann@sgs.com





Contents

3-MCPD & glycidol:

EU regulation on food additives

Common analytical concepts & their limitations when applied to E471

Validation of a new analytical method for E471



Recent EU-Regulations (EU) 2023/1329, (EU) 2023/1428

EU regulations on 3-MCPD & glycidol in food additives



International Conference: Spotlight: Food additives – Satus quo on chemical analysis and European regulations, November 26th-27th 2024, Berlin, Germany





International Conference: Spotlight: Food additives – Satus quo on chemical analysis and European regulations, November 26th-27th 2024, Berlin, Germany

Jan Kuhlmann - SGS



Principal analytical approaches for 3-MCPD(E)/GE determination



Direct Methods: Determination of single esters - calculation of core components



All indirect methods for GE-Analysis are based on the assumption that beside glycidol no further reactive components are present that react with chloride or bromide to 3-MCPD or 3-MBPD.

SGS

Observations in GE-determination when applying official oil & fat-methods to E471 Part I

With all official methods, non-liquid samples have to be molten during sample preparation. (Emulsifiers are not(!) in the scope of official methods)



Here there is no interference in the actual sense - but application of the official methods can lead to significant GE underestimations.

However, a method, in which the analyte might be destroyed (in an uncontrolled manner) is not valid!

International Conference: Spotlight: Food additives – Satus quo on chemical analysis and European regulations, November 26th-27th 2024, Berlin, Germany Ja

GS Observations in GE-determination when applying official oil & fat-methods to E471 Part II

"strange results" when re-analysing E 471-samples.



GE is a group of heat-induced processing contaminants. The levels should not increase during storage at room temperature.

This must be strange reaction kinetics.

Assumption: opposing combination of **GE-decomposition** \leftrightarrow artefact formation during analysis.



Solutions for identification and compensation of GE artefact formation.

Approach: control of GE-induced 3-MBPD : 2-MBPD ratio



Official,"3-MCPD(E)/GE-methods are not suitable for emulsifier analysis! They are not valid for these matrices!

...that ´s not new: A.Ermacora, K. Hrncirick: J Am Oil Chem Soc **2013**, 90, 1–8 J. Kuhlmann, oral presentation: AOCS Expert Panel on Process Contaminants, **2013**, Montreal, Canada Z. Zelinkova, A. Giri, T. Wenzel: Food Control, 77, **2017**, 65-75:

GE quantification via 2-MBPD could work, if sensitivity could be improved and if the melting of samples is avoided.

A new method on basis of ISO 18363-2: Change in sample weight & solvents Change in raw data evaluation No sample-melting "SGS 3-in-1 emulsifier-method"

International Conference: Spotlight: Food additives – Satus quo on chemical analysis and European regulations, November 26th-27th 2024, Berlin, Germany



Application of the SGS 3-in-1e emulsifier method: practical examples



A routine method has to undergo method validation according to international standards before being accepted by official bodies & the scientific community.

International Conference: Spotlight: Food additives – Satus quo on chemical analysis and European regulations, November 26th-27th 2024, Berlin, Germany Jan K

Jan Kuhlmann - SGS

11





2 x 10 samples (double-blind)

- All relevant E471 sub-classes covered
- Blank materials included (E475, E476)
- Analyte combinations high GE ↔ low 3-MCPDE // low GE ↔ high 3-MCPD
 - Variable habitus: solid block, pellets, powders, flakes, liquid

Six participating laboratories / 8 data sets:

• 3 x Denmark / 1 x France /1 x Ireland / 1 x Germany (3 data sets)



TABLE 5 Summary validation results for RSD_r, RSD_R and HorRat R values

	Analyte level	RSD _r (%)	RSD _R (%)	HorRat R
Glycidol ^a	0.11 → 28.4 mg/kg	3.3 ↔ 17.1	9.0 ↔ 29.2	0.6 ↔ 1 .6
3-MCPD ^b	$0.07 \mapsto 2.93 \text{ mg/kg}$	$\textbf{2.0} \leftrightarrow \textbf{16.6}$	6.7 ↔ 20.7	0.4 ↔ 1 .1
2-MCPD ^b	$0.02 \leftrightarrow 0.72 \text{ mg/kg}$	1.9 ↔ 24.0	10.1 ↔ 28.6	$\textbf{0.5} \leftrightarrow \textbf{1.0}$

^aGlycidyl fatty acid esters expressed as glycidol.

^bSum of free MCPD and MCPD fatty acid esters expressed as MCPD.



Requirements for achieving acceptance

	Method suital	ole for m	nonitoring	& offi	cial i	food	control?
--	---------------	-----------	------------	--------	--------	------	----------

	Method LOQ**	Max levels***		
2-MCPD(E)*	≤ 0.02 mg/kg	not set		
3-MCPD(E)*	≤ 0.07 mg/kg	0.75 – 2.5 mg/kg		
GE*	\cong 0.01 mg/kg	5 mg/kg		
* Expressed as 2_{-} or 3_{-} MCPD or alycidal /** lowest included analyte level with RSD ₋ < 30%				

* Expressed as 2- or 3-MCPD or glycidol $\ /$ ** lowest included analyte level with RSD_R \leq 30 % *** EU 2023/1329 & EU 2023/1428









SCIENTIFIC OPINION

ADOPTED: 1 April 2022

doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2022.7308

Follow-up of the re-evaluation of polyglycerol esters of fatty acids (E 475) as a food additive

...

. . .

References

EFSA ANS Panel (EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources added to Food), Younes M, Aggett P, Aguilar F, Crebelli R, Dusemund B, Filipič M, Frutos MJ, Galtier P, Gott D, Gundert-Remy U, Kuhnle GG, Leblanc J-C, Lillegaard IT, Moldeus P, Mortensen A, Oskarsson A, Stankovic I, Waalkens-Berendsen I, Woutersen RA, Wright M, Boon P, Chrysafidis D, Gürtler R, Mosesso P, Parent-Massin D, Tobback P, Rincon AM, Zs H and Lambré C, 2017a. Scientific Opinion on the re-evaluation of polyglycerol esters of fatty acids (E 475) as a food additive. EFSA Journal 2017;15(12):5089, 32 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.5089

EPSA CONTAM Panel (Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain), 2009b. Scientific opinion on Arsenic in food. EFSA Journal 2009;7(3):980, 139 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2009.980

EFSA CONTAM Panel (Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain), 2010. Scientific opinion on Lead in food. EFSA Journal 2010;8(4):1570, 151 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1570

EFSA CONTAM Panel (Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain), 2012. Scientific Opinion on the risk for public health related to the presence of mercury and methylmercury in food. EFSA Journal 2012;10(12):2985, 15 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2985

- EFSA CONTAM Panel (EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain), Knutsen HK, Alexander J, Barregard L, Bignami M, Brüschweiker B, Ceccatelli S, Cottrill B, Dinovi M, Edler L, Grasl-Kraupp B, Hoogenboom LR, Nebbia CS, Oswald IP, Petersen A, Rose M, Roudot A-C, Schwerdtle T, Vleminckx C, Vollmer G, Wallace H, Lampen A, Morris I, Piersma A, Schrenk D, Binaglia M, Levorato S and Hogstrand C, 2018. Scientific Opinion on the update of the risk assessment on 3-monochloropropane diol and its fatty acid esters. EFSA Journal 2018;16 (1):5083, 23 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5083
- EFSA Scientific Committee, 2009. Guidance of the Scientific Committee on transparency in the scientific aspects of risk assessment carried out by EFSA. Part 2: general principles. EFSA Journal 2009;7(11):1051, 22 pp. https:// doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1051

EFSA Scientific Committee, 2012. Statement on the applicability of the Margin of Exposure approach for the safety assessment of impurities which are both genotoxic and carcinogenic in substances added to food/feed. EFSA Journal 2012;10(3):2578, 5 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2578

Kuhlmann J, 2021. Collaborative study for the quantification of total contents of 2- and 3-monochloropropanediol and glycidol in food emulsifiers by GC-MS. Journal of the American OII Chemists Society, 98, 1131–1142. https://doi.org/10.1002/aocs.12545

Jan Kuhlmann - SGS



summary

 Official methods for analysis of 2-/3-MCPD(E)/GE in edible oils/fats have not been designed nor systematically tested for food emulsifiers and might give wrong results for GE.
Overestimation = artefact formation + decomposition = underestimation

2. In food emulsifieres, GE might show significant decomposition during transport/storage/sample prep... These effects have not been observed similarly in foods.

3. On basis of ISO 18363-2, a new routine method for analysis of MCPD(E) & GE in E471/E475/E476 was successfully validated by an international ring trial.





SGS Germany GmbH Dr. Jan Kuhlmann Weidenbaumsweg 137 D-21035 Hamburg Tel.: +49 (0)40 88 309 423 mobile: +49 (0)172 413 8446 www.de.sgs.com Jan.Kuhlmann@sgs.com

WHEN YOU NEED TO BE SURE



International Conference: Spotlight: Food additives – Satus quo on chemical analysis and European regulations, November 26th-27th 2024, Berlin, Germany Jan Ku

Jan Kuhlmann - SGS